

August 17, 1989

Honorable Larry Stirling Senator, Thirty-Ninth District State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Your Request for Informal Assistance

Our File No. I-89-477

Dear Senator Stirling:

We have received your letter dated August 3, 1989, concerning the recent Court of Appeal decision in <u>Taxpayers to Limit Campaign Sending v. Fair Political Practices Commission</u>. I understand that on August 4, 1989, you met with Lilly Spitz, an attorney with the Commission's Legal Division, to discuss the <u>Taxpayers</u> decision. I assume that your meeting with Ms. Spitz has taken care of this inquiry.

Enclosed is a copy of the August 1989 <u>Bulletin</u>, which contains a summary of the <u>Taxpayers</u> case. The Commission has decided to petition the California Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeal.

If you have any further questions, please contact this office a $(916)\ 322-5901$.

Sincerely,

Kathryn E. Donovan General Counsel

Kathery & Property.

KED:plh

Enclosure

Senate

4669 MURPHY CANYON ROAD SUITE 239 SAN DIEGO, CA 92123 PHONE: (619) 237-7777

California Legislature



FPPC Nuc 7 1 23 PH 189

August 3, 1989

Mr. John Larson, Chair Fair Political Practices Commission 428 "J" Street, Suite 800 Sacramento, California 95814

Dear Mr. Larson:

Enclosed is an article which appeared in The San Diego Union on August 3, 1989.

What precisely does this mean? What action should I take, if any, and when?

Sincerely

LARRY STIRLING Senator, 39th District

LS:prp SF 9/3/89

The West—

ks limits on fund raising

political watchdog group Califor-Common Cause, the main backer Proposition 68, said at a news conence yesterday.

But a spokeswoman for Assembly nority Leader Ross Johnson, one the authors of Proposition 73, the al campaign reform initiative also proved in the same June 1988 electric, said Johnson would most likely beal the decision to the state Sume Court.

Jnder the ruling released Tuesr, candidates for the state Assemwould be limited to \$50,000 per ction from organizations and pocal action committees, while the lit for state Senate candidates uld be \$75,000.

Those groups also could donate no re than \$200,000 to all candidates or two years, while individuals

would be limited to total donations of \$25,000 in that time, according to the appeals court.

The limits apply to both incumbents and challengers. Candidates seeking statewide office, including the governorship, are exempted.

The appeals court, however, did not uphold the section of Proposition 68 calling for the use of tax money in campaigns, nor did it uphold a section dealing with limits on campaign spending.

"The campaign reform agenda remains incomplete," said Zelman.

Still, the decision, if it is implemented, could greatly reduce the amount of money candidates get from special-interest groups, Zelman said.

Candidates have spent as much as \$500,000 to \$700,000 in special-inter-

est donations, abou amount they would spend under the app sion, said Zelman.

The fund raising rego into effect 30 day unless the decision is

The appeals court the latest chapter is implementation of measures.

Proposition 68 go the votes, but Protured 58 percent.

The state Fair Pc Commission ruled 73's provisions sho where the two me Only a few minor pr osition 68 were allow under the FPPC ruli

Proposition 73, sp

Senate

4669 MURPHY CANYON ROAD SUITE 239 SAN DIEGO. CA 92123

F Malifornia Legislature

Aug 7 8 00 AH '89

LARRY STIRLING

SENATOR, THIRTY-NINTH DISTRICT

August 3, 1989

Mr. John Larson, Chairman Fair Political Practices Commission 428 J Street, Suite 800 Sacramento, CA 95804

Dear Mr. Larson:

Enclosed is an article which appeared in The San Diego Union on August 3, 1989. What precisely does this mean? What action should I take, if any, and when?

Sinderely,

LARRY STIRLING

Senator, 39th District

LS:dmk

Enclosure

В

- The West

md raising for legislativ

ital donations of according to the

to both incumers. Candidates iffice, including exempted.

t, however, did n of Proposition of tax money in it uphold a secits on campaign

form agenda reaid Zelman.

, if it is impleitly reduce the candidates get t groups, Zelman

pent as much as in special-interest donations, about 10 times the amount they would be allowed to spend under the appeals court decision, said Zelman.

The fund raising restrictions would go into effect 30 days from Tuesday, unless the decision is appealed.

The appeals court decision marked the latest chapter in a dispute over implementation of the two ballot measures.

Proposition 68 got 52.8 percent of the votes, but Proposition 73 captured 58 percent.

The state Fair Political Practices Commission ruled that Proposition 73's provisions should take effect where the two measures differed. Only a few minor provisions of Proposition 68 were allowed to take effect under the FPPC ruling.

Proposition 73, sponsored by three

legislators, includes contribution limits for state and local candidates, but only limits the size of individual contributions.

It does not include any aggregate contribution limits or spending limits, nor does it ban non-election year fund raising. It bans public financing — the use of tax money to help pay for political campaigns.

A spokeswoman for the state Fair Political Practices Commission said the appeal court decision could be appealed either by the FPPC or by Proposition 73's proponents.

Johnson, R-Fullerton, one of the Proposition 73 authors, was vacationing in Mexico and couldn't be reached for comment. But his press secretary, Anne Richards, said, "I think there's a good chance he will be